

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,663	IKEDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SAHAR JAVANMARD	1627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) SAHAR JAVANMARD. (3) JERRICK HO.
 (2) SHENGJIN WANG. (4) JOHN CALLAHAN.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2010.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 5, 6, and 8-10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kimura (WO 02/09756)- English equiv (US Patent 7,244,761).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The outstanding rejections of record were discussed. Applicants arguments were not persuasive. Applicant will consider filing a new application with claims drawn to methods of treatment employing the compositions of the instant application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shengjun Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1627	
--	--